

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/074,959	02/	12/2002	Avery J. Evans	SPEC-6150	SPEC-6150 6989	
5	7590	05/19/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Allegiance C		1	RAMANA, A	RAMANA, ANURADHA		
Attn: Kim Diliberti 1430 Waukegan Road				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
McGaw Park, IL 60085-6787			3732			

DATE MAILED: 05/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Sp				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/074,959	EVANS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Anu Ramana	3732 ·					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	/ IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH	S) FROM					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period volume to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Fe	ebruary 2005.						
· —	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	·		e merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 28 is/are withdrawn f	rom consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-27 and 29-32</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	t e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers		٠					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 February 2002</u> is/are			ner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			ED 1 101/d)				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
	dammer. Note the attached Office	, rodon or rount	10102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ul>	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National	Stage				
• •	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies flot receive						
Attachment(s)	[ ]	(DTO 410)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F		O-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	·					

Art Unit: 3732

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-2, 4-10, 12, 14, 15-20, 29 and 31-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Box et al. (US 4,832,692).

Box et al. disclose a syringe assembly or system having a plunger assembly including a shaft with a threaded portion 60, a hand knob 62, a dispenser hub assembly (hand grip 46, collar 15 with an internally threaded portion), a transparent hollow tube 11, a trigger 44 and a spring 45 (Figs. 1-5, col. 3, lines 31-68, col. 4, lines 1-59, col. 5, lines 10-68 and col. 6, lines 1-38).

The introductory statement and all other functional statements of intended use, namely, "for percutaneous delivery of bone cement," "for percutaneous delivery of bone cement during the surgical procedure," "for controlled displacement of bone cement" have been carefully considered but are deemed not to impose any structure on the claims distinguishable over the Box et al. reference.

Claims 1-2, 4-5, 10, 12-19, 21-25 and 29-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Preissman (US 6,383,190).

Preissman discloses a system for delivery of bone cement including: a plunger assembly with a shaft having a threaded middle section 96 and a handle 92 attached to a first end of the shaft; a dispenser hub assembly having a collar (94d) with an internally threaded portion; a handle (92) attached to the shaft; a hand-grip (64, 75) attached to

Application/Control Number: 10/074,959

Art Unit: 3732

the collar; a syringe or "hollow tube" 152 removably engaged with the collar; a cannula 10; a stylet 1'; and a tubing assembly 70 with luer fittings at both ends (Figs. 7-9, 13, 15, 18, col. 8, lines 20-67, col. 9, col. 10, lines 1-36, col. 13, lines 14-67 and col. 14, lines 1-10).

Regarding claims 3 and 30, Preissman discloses that an enlarged introduction section may be provided in the barrel 152 of the syringe to aid in introduction of the plunger without forming a seal (Fig. 21 and col. 17, lines 9-65).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Box et al. (US 4,832,692) in view of Thornhill et al. (US 6,019,765).

Box et al. discloses all elements of the claimed invention except for a funnel-shaped opening at a distal end of member 11. See discussion for claim 1.

Thornhill et al. teach providing a funnel-shaped opening at a distal end of syringe (Fig. 5) to facilitate filling the syringe with material (Fig. 5 and col. 4, lines 19-22).

Accordingly it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a funnel-shaped opening to a distal end of the Box et al. syringe, as taught by Thornhill et al. for ease of placement of material within the Box et al. syringe.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Box et al. (US 4,832,692), as applied to claim 1.

Box et al. discloses the claimed invention except for a syringe volume of 10cc. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have made the Box et al.

Art Unit: 3732

syringe with a volume of 10 cc, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Box et al. (US 4,832,692), as applied to claim 1, in view of LeVeen et al. (US 4,312,343).

Box et al. disclose all elements of the claimed invention except that the syringe has a plurality of graduations.

LeVeen et al. teach making a syringe of a transparent material with a plurality of graduations to facilitate visual observation of the syringe contents and measurement of the piston movement (col. 2, lines 29-44).

Accordingly it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the Box et al. syringe with a plurality of graduations, as taught by LeVeen et al. for visual observation of the syringe contents and measurement of piston movement.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Box et al. (US 4,832,692), as applied to claim 1, in view of Fischer (US 5,603,701).

Box et al. disclose all elements of the claimed invention except that hand knob 62 is ergonomically shaped.

Fischer teaches knob 80 of a syringe apparatus to be shaped to facilitate ease of gripping and manipulation by the user with a minimal amount of wrist rotation (col. 5, lines 46-54).

Accordingly it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided an ergonomically shaped hand knob in the Box et al. syringe apparatus, as taught by Fischer for ease of manipulation by the user.

Application/Control Number: 10/074,959

Art Unit: 3732

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Preissman (US 6,383,190), as applied to claim 1, in view of Fischer (US 5,603,701).

Preissman discloses all elements of the claimed invention except that handle 92 is ergonomically shaped.

Fischer teaches handle 80 of an apparatus to be shaped to facilitate ease of gripping and manipulation by the user with a minimal amount of wrist rotation (col. 5, lines 46-54).

Accordingly it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided an ergonomically shaped handle in the Preissman apparatus, as taught by Fischer for ease of manipulation by the user.

Claims 21-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bhatnagar et al. (US 6,395,007) in view of Preissman (US 6,383,190).

Bhatnagar et al. disclose a kit having a cement delivery means 42, a tubing assembly 44 removably attached to the cement delivery means 42, a cannula 12, a stylet 2, a hammer and a forceps (Fig. 4, col. 5, lines 31-66, col. 6, lines 1-35, col. 8, lines 45-59, col. 9, lines 46-67, col. 10, lines 1-26 and lines 55-61).

Bhatnagar et al. further disclose that any cement delivery means could be used (col. 9, lines 7-17).

Bhatnagar et al. disclose all elements of the claimed invention except for a cement delivery means including a plunger assembly and a dispenser hub assembly disposed around the shaft.

Preissman teaches a cement delivery means having a plunger assembly with a shaft and a handle attached to an end of the shaft and a dispenser hub assembly around the shaft (see previous discussion).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made have substituted a cement delivery means as, for example, taught by the Preissman reference for the cement delivery means in the Bhatnagar et al. kit wherein so doing would amount to mere substitution of one functionally equivalent

Application/Control Number: 10/074,959 Page 6

Art Unit: 3732

structure for another within the same art and the selection of any of these devices would work equally well in the claimed device.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anu Ramana whose telephone number is (571) 272-4718. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached at (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ARThuralla famara

May 14, 2005

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700